arXiv:1601.07829v2 [math.NT] 10 Mar 2016

IRREDUCIBILITY OF POLYNOMIALS OVER NUMBER FIELDS IS DIOPHANTINE

PHILIP DITTMANN

1. Statement of results

We generalise methods of Poonen ([Poo09]) and Koenigsmann ([Koe16]) to prove the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let K be a number field and $n > 0$ an integer. There exists an existential first-order formula $\varphi_{K,n}(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ in the language of rings such that $K \models \varphi_{K,n}(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ if and only if the polynomial $X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ has no root in K.*

Our construction of the formula $\varphi_{K,n}$ is explicit in principle, although we have not taken care to optimise its quantifier rank. As immediate corollaries we obtain:

Corollary. *For every number field K and $n > 0$, the set of n-th powers in $K^\times$ is both existentially and universally definable.*

This was previously proven in [CTG15].

Corollary. *Let K be a number field and $K^{**} \supsetneq K^*$ with $K^{**} \equiv K^* \equiv K$. Then K^* is relatively algebraically closed in K^{**}.*

This answers Question 25 in [Koe16]. By a simple model-theoretic argument, we also obtain the following:

Corollary. *Let K be a number field. There exists a diophantine criterion for a polynomial over K in an arbitrary number of variables to be irreducible. More formally, fix $r, d \geq 0$. There exists an existential first-order formula $\varphi'_{K,r,d}$ in $(d+1)^r$ variables such that a polynomial*

$$\sum_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq d} a_{i_1,\dots,i_r} X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_r^{i_r} \in K[X_1, \dots, X_r]$$

is irreducible if and only if $K \models \varphi'_{K,r,d}(\overline{a})$.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank my supervisor, Jochen Koenigsmann, for helpful discussions on the subject of this paper and comments on the exposition.

Date: March 10, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11U05; Secondary 11R52.

Key words and phrases. diophantine set, definability, central simple algebra.

This research was supported by the University of Oxford Clarendon Fund and Merton College Oxford.

2. Preliminaries on central simple algebras

In this section, we extend the methods pioneered in [Poo09] from quaternion algebras over $\mathbb{Q}$ to general central simple algebras of prime degree over number fields. Apart from our local-global principle, whose proof follows the idea set out in Theorem 3.1 of [Eis05], all proofs are essentially the same as in Poonen's paper.

Let k be a field and A a (finite-dimensional) central simple algebra over k. Define

$$S(A/k) = \{\mathrm{Trd}(x) \colon x \in A, \mathrm{Nrd}(x) = 1\},$$

where Trd and Nrd are the reduced trace and norm, respectively.

Proposition 2.1. *Let K be a number field and A be a central simple algebra over K of prime degree l. Then*

$$S(A/K) = \bigcap_{v \text{ a place of } K} S(A \otimes K_v/K_v) \cap K.$$

This proposition is well-known for $l = 2$ (the proof in this case can rely on the Hasse principle for quadratic forms), and this case has been exploited for first-order definitions of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Q}$ (and more generally for rings of integers in number fields, cf. [Par13]). For the proof in the general case we quote two lemmas from the theory of central simple algebras:

Lemma 2.2 ([Mil13, Corollary IV.3.7])**.** *Let D be a central division algebra of degree n^2 over k, and let L be a field of degree n over k. Then L splits D if and only if L can be embedded into D over k (i.e. there is a subfield of D isomorphic to L over k).*

Lemma 2.3 ([GS06, Proposition 2.6.3])**.** *Let A/k be a central simple algebra of degree n and $x \in A$. If $K \subseteq A$ is a degree n field extension of k containing x, then $\mathrm{Nrd}(x) = N_{K/k}(x)$ and $\mathrm{Trd}(x) = \mathrm{tr}_{K/k}(x)$. In particular, if n is prime and A is a division algebra, then $\mathrm{Nrd}(x) = x^n$ and $\mathrm{Trd}(x) = nx$ if $x \in k$ and otherwise $\mathrm{Nrd}(x) = N_{k(x)/k}(x)$ and $\mathrm{Trd}(x) = \mathrm{tr}_{k(x)/k}(x)$.*

Proof of the proposition. This is a local-global principle. Note that there is nothing to show if A is isomorphic to the algebra of $l \times l$-matrices over K since the set on both sides is just K in this case, so we need only cover the case that A is a division algebra. The inclusion $\subseteq$ is clear. For the other inclusion, consider an element $a \in K$ of the right-hand side. We want to show that $a \in S(A/K)$, i.e. that there exists $x \in A$ with $\mathrm{Nrd}(x) = 1$ and $\mathrm{Trd}(x) = a$. Let $v_1, \dots, v_r$ be the ramified places of A. (The local condition is trivial at all other places.) For each v_i there exists an element x_i of $A \otimes K_{v_i}$ of reduced norm 1 and reduced trace a. We can disregard the case where x_i is in the centre K_{v_i} – the norm condition then forces x_i to be a root of unity, and the trace condition forces $x_i = \frac{a}{l} \in K$, in which case we are done globally. Assume therefore that $x_i \notin K_{v_i}$, so $K_{v_i}(x_i)/K_{v_i}$ is a field extension of degree l which splits $A \otimes K_{v_i}$ and is generated by an element of norm 1 and trace a. Now globally there exists a field extension $K(x)/K$ of degree l generated by an element of norm 1 and trace a such that $K_{v_i}(x) = K_{v_i}(x_i)$. To see this, note that we can find a monic polynomial f of degree l over K whose coefficients are close in the v_i-adic valuation to the coefficients of the minimal polynomial of x_i, for all i, and whose constant coefficient is $(-1)^l$ (to force norm 1) and whose X^{l-1}-coefficient is $-a$ (to force trace a). Then f is necessarily irreducible over all K_{v_i} and therefore over K, and furthermore if x is a root of f in an algebraic

closure, then $K_{v_i}(x) = K_{v_i}(x_i)$ by Krasner's Lemma. Now the field $K(x)$ splits A by the Hasse-Brauer-Noether Theorem since it splits A everywhere locally, thus $K(x)$ embeds into A. The image of x under this embedding has reduced norm 1 and reduced trace a. □

Next we investigate the local conditions $S(A/K_v)$. For a prime power q, define

$$U_{q,l} = \{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^l}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x) \colon x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^l} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q, \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^l}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x) = 1\}.$$

Proposition 2.4. *Let A/K be a central simple algebra of prime degree l over a local field.*

1. *If A is split, then $S(A/K) = K$.*
2. *If A is a division algebra and K is non-archimedean, then $\mathcal{O}_K \supseteq S(A/K) \supseteq \mathrm{red}^{-1}(U_{q,l})$, where $\mathcal{O}_K$ is the valuation ring,* red *is the reduction map from $\mathcal{O}_K$ to the residue field, and q is the cardinality of the residue field.*

Proof. The split case is clear, since reduced norm and trace coincide with the usual matrix determinant and trace, and all monic polynomials of degree l do occur as characteristic polynomials. For the case of a division algebra, let $x \in A$ with $\mathrm{Nrd}(x) = 1$. Then x is contained in the valuation ring of the local field $K(x)$, therefore integral over O_K and hence has integral trace. This proves $S(A/K) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$. Conversely, if $\overline{a} \in U_{q,l}$ then $a = \mathrm{tr}(x)$ for some x of norm 1 which generates an unramified extension $K(x)/K$ of degree l. By the classification of central simple algebras over local fields, $K(x)$ splits A and therefore embeds into A, so a does occur as the reduced trace of an element of reduced norm 1. □

Lemma 2.5. *Fix a prime number l. For all prime powers q we have $U_{q,l} \neq \emptyset$, and for sufficiently large q we have $U_{q,l} - U_{q,l} = \mathbb{F}_q$. The necessary bound for "sufficiently large" can be computed explicitly.*

Proof. Recall that the norm map $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^l}/\mathbb{F}_q}$ is given by raising to the $\frac{q^l-1}{q-1}$-th power, so (since $\mathbb{F}_{q^l}^\times$ is cyclic) each value in $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ is the norm of exactly $\frac{q^l-1}{q-1}$ elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^l}^\times$. In particular, there is an element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^l} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ of norm 1. This proves $U_{q,l} \neq \emptyset$. In particular $0 \in U_{q,l} - U_{q,l}$.

It remains to show that $U_{q,l} - U_{q,l} = \mathbb{F}_q$ for sufficiently large q – we turn this into a problem of algebraic geometry. Fix q for the moment and let $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ be irreducible of degree l, so that $\mathbb{F}_{q^l} \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(f)$. For each $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, we would like to show that the set

$$\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^l}^2 : N(x) = N(y) = 1, \mathrm{tr}(x) - \mathrm{tr}(y) = a, x, y \notin \mathbb{F}_q\}$$

is non-empty. Expanding the entries in the l-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q^l}$ gives the condition that the quasi-affine variety

$$\begin{aligned} V_a \colon g(x_0, \ldots, x_{l-1}) &= 1 \\ g(y_0, \ldots, y_{l-1}) &= 1 \\ t(x_0 - y_0, \ldots, x_{l-1} - y_{l-1}) &= a \\ \neg(x_1 = \cdots = x_{l-1} = 0) & \\ \neg(y_1 = \cdots = y_{l-1} = 0) & \end{aligned}$$

should have an $\mathbb{F}_q$-point, where g is a homogenous polynomial of degree l with $g(x_0, \ldots, x_{l-1}) = N_{(\mathbb{F}_q[X]/(f))/\mathbb{F}_q}(x_0 + \overline{X}x_1 + \cdots + \overline{X}^{l-1}x_{l-1})$, i.e. g is the norm

form of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[X]/(f)$ with respect to its canonical basis, and t is a linear polynomial such that the same holds for the trace. We first want to argue that V_a is geometrically integral, so we consider $V_a \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$. The norm and trace form of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[X]/(f)$ then turn into norm and trace form of the $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$-algebra $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[X]/(f)$, but it is an easy consequence of the Chinese Remainder Theorem that this algebra is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^l$. Hence, through a change of basis, V_a is isomorphic over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ to

$$\begin{aligned} V_a' \colon\ & x_0 \cdots x_{l-1} && = 1 \\ & y_0 \cdots y_{l-1} && = 1 \\ & x_0 + \cdots + x_{l-1} - y_0 - \cdots - y_{l-1} && = a \\ & \neg(x_0 = \cdots = x_{l-1}) \\ & \neg(y_0 = \cdots = y_{l-1}), \end{aligned}$$

since norm and trace on $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^l$ are just given by multiplication/addition of the entries. It is then not hard to show that V_a' is indeed an irreducible variety of dimension $2l-3$ (e.g. one can use the first two equations to eliminate the variables x_0, y_0 in the third equation, which (after clearing denominators) leads to an irreducible polynomial by the following lemma). Thus V_a is given as an absolutely irreducible variety of dimension $2l-3$ with two planes $x_1 = \cdots = x_{l-1} = 0$, $y_1 = \cdots = y_{l-1} = 0$ cut out. The pieces cut out are of dimension at most $l-2 < 2l-3$. The Lang-Weil bound for the number of points on varieties over finite fields ([LW54]) then yields that for sufficiently large q the variety V_a must have an $\mathbb{F}_q$-point. (The constant in the Lang-Weil bound depends only on l, and can be effectively bounded, as e.g. shown in [CM06].) □

Lemma 2.6. *Let k be a field, $a \in k^\times$ and $l > 1$. Then the polynomial*

$$f = \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} X_i Y_i \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} X_i - \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} Y_i - a \Big) + \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} Y_i - \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} X_i \in k[X_1, \ldots, X_{l-1}, Y_1, \ldots, Y_{l-1}]$$

is irreducible.

Proof. If $f = g \cdot h$ with neither g nor h constant, then some variable must occur in both g in h, since f has degree two in each variable, but no monomial of f has degree two in two variables. Assume without loss of generality that X_1 occurs in both g and h. We shall lead this to a contradiction. If $l > 2$, we can write $f = AX_1^2 + BX_1 + C$ with $v_{X_2}(A) = 1$, $v_{X_2}(B) = 1$, $v_{X_2}(C) = 0$, where v_{X_2} denotes the X_2-adic valuation. But then f cannot split as $A(X_1 - x)(X_1 - x')$ into linear factors over $k(X_2, \ldots, X_{l-1}, Y_1, \ldots, Y_{l-1})$, because we would have to have $v_{X_2}(x) + v_{X_2}(x') = -1$ and $v_{X_2}(x + x') = 0$, which is clearly impossible. This leaves $l = 2$, so $f = X_1^2 Y_1 - X_1(aY_1 + 1 + Y_1^2) + Y_1$. This is irreducible in $k[X_1, Y_1]$. □

Pick q_l such that for all $q > q_l$ we have $U_{q,l} - U_{q,l} = \mathbb{F}_q$. Now fix a number field K, and let $R_{l,K}$ be a finite set of representatives for $\mathcal{O}_K / \prod_{|\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}| \leq q_l} \mathfrak{p}$. Define

$$T_K(A/L) = S(A/L) - S(A/L) + R_{l,K}$$

for any central simple algebra A/L of degree l, where L is a number field containing K.

Proposition 2.7. *If A/L splits at all real places of L (which is in particular always the case if $l \neq 2$), then*

$$T_K(A/L) \cap K = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Delta_{A/L}} \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q} \cap K,$$

where $\Delta_{A/L}$ is the finite set of prime ideals of L at which A/L does not split.

Proof. The inclusion $\subseteq$ is clear. For the other inclusion, let $a \in K$ be an element of the right-hand side. Choose $r \in R_{l,K}$ such that $a - r + \mathfrak{p} \in U_q - U_q$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \lhd \mathcal{O}_K$ with $q = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}| \leq q_l$. By weak approximation we can then pick $b \in L$ such that $b+\mathfrak{q}, a-r+b+\mathfrak{q} \in U_q$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \Delta_{A/L}$, $q = |\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{q}|$. Then $a-r+b, b \in S(A/L)$. □

2.1. **First-order definability.** For what is to follow we will require that $S(A/k)$ is positively existentially first-order definable (in the language of rings) in terms of structure constants of A. (Recall that structure constants with respect to a basis $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq l^2}$ of A/k are the constants $(a_{ijk})_{1 \leq i,j,k \leq l^2}$ in k such that $X_i \cdot X_j = \sum_k a_{ijk} X_k$.) For this it suffices to see that Trd and Nrd are quantifier-freely definable. The perhaps easiest way to verify this is to note that Trd and Nrd are invariant under base change, i.e. reduced norm and trace of an element x of A/k are the same as those of the element $x \otimes 1$ of $A \otimes k'/k'$ for any field extension k'/k. Now Nrd and Trd are clearly definable over algebraically closed fields – there exists a basis (Y_i) with respect to which the structure constants are those of a matrix algebra, and then determinant and trace are definable – so Nrd and Trd are quantifier-freely definable over algebraically closed fields by quantifier elimination, and this definition must in fact be correct for all fields.

Alternatively, to avoid the recourse to quantifier elimination in algebraically closed fields, we may replace

$$S(A/k) = \{\mathrm{Trd}(x) \colon x \in A, \mathrm{Nrd}(x) = 1\}$$

by

$$S'(A/k) = \{\frac{1}{l}\,\mathrm{Tr}(x) \colon x \in A, \mathrm{Nr}(x) = 1\},$$

where Tr and Nr denote trace and norm of an element in the usual commutative algebra sense, i.e. trace and determinant of the induced left-multiplication operator. Since $\mathrm{Tr}(x) = l\,\mathrm{Trd}(x)$ and $\mathrm{Nr}(x) = \mathrm{Nrd}(x)^l$, we obtain $S(A/k) \subseteq S'(A/k)$; however, we also have $S'(A/K) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ if K is a non-archimedean local field and A is non-split over K, so Proposition 2.7 (which is all we will use later on) still holds when we replace S by S'. But since Tr and Nr are obviously quantifier-freely definable, S' is clearly positively existentially definable.

Corollary 2.8. *Fix a number field K and a primitive element c of $K/\mathbb{Q}$. Consider the language of rings expanded by a constant symbol for c. For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \lhd \mathcal{O}_K$, the ring $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p} \subseteq K$ is positively existentially definable in K in this language.*

Proof. Pick two other prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{p}'' \lhd \mathcal{O}_K$. There exist central simple algebras A/K and A'/K of degree 2 that are non-split at exactly the places $\{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}'\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}''\}$, respectively. Then $T_K(A/K) + T_K(A'/K) = \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$, and this is positively existentially definable in K in the expanded language. (We need to expand the language to make the structure constants of A and A' definable.) □

For later use, we also spell out a definability result for cyclic algebras. Recall that for a field k and a cyclic extension M/k of degree l with a generator σ of $\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)$ and an element $a \in k$ the cyclic algebra (M, σ, a) is the k-algebra generated by M and an element y subject to the relations $y^l = a$ and $xy = y\sigma(x)$ for all $x \in M$. It is central simple of degree l.

Lemma 2.9. *Fix a number field K and a cyclic extension M/K of prime degree l with a generator σ of $\mathrm{Gal}(M/K)$. Then there is a positive existential formula $\varphi_{M,\sigma}(a, x)$ in the language of rings expanded by a constant symbol for a primitive element of $K/\mathbb{Q}$ such that in any finite extension L/K and for any $a \in K$ the formula $\varphi_{M,\sigma}(a, \cdot)$ defines the set $T_K((M, \sigma, a) \otimes_K L/L)$.*

Proof. Fixing an irreducible polynomial over K with splitting field M, we can clearly write structure constants for (M, σ, a) as polynomial expressions in a and a primitive element of $K/\mathbb{Q}$. These are then also structure constants for $(M, \sigma, a) \otimes_K L/L$. Since we argued above that $S(A/L)$ is positively existentially first-order definable in terms of the structure constants, this proves the claim. □

3. A diophantine criterion for proper extensions of number fields

Fix $n > 1$ and a number field K.

Definition 3.1. Let L/K be an extension of degree n and $l \mid n$ a prime number. A prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of K is *l-good (for L)* if it is unramified in L and for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{q}$ of L above $\mathfrak{p}$ the inertia degree $[\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{q} : \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}]$ is divisible by l.

Lemma 3.2. *For every L/K of degree n there exists $l \mid n$ such that the set of l-good prime ideals of K has density at least $\frac{1}{n!}$. In particular, if n is prime then $l = n$ satisfies this condition.*

Proof. Let L'/K be the Galois hull of L/K, $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L'/K)$, $H = \mathrm{Gal}(L'/L) \lneq G$. Then $|G| \leq n!$. Let $g \in G$ of prime power order l^k with $l \mid n$ such that no conjugate of g is in H; existence of such g is assured by the theorem of group theory following below. If $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime ideal of L' above an unramified ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that $\mathrm{Frob}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p})$ is conjugate to g, then the inertia degree $f(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p})$ is equal to $\mathrm{ord}(g) = l^k$, so for $\mathfrak{q}' = \mathfrak{q} \cap L$ we have $f(\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 1$ since $\mathrm{Frob}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}) \notin H$, and $f(\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{p}) | l^k$. The set of such prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ has density at least $\frac{1}{n!}$ by the Chebotarev Density Theorem. In the special case that n is prime, any element g of order n fulfills the condition – since $n \nmid |H|$, no conjugate of g is in H. □

Theorem 3.3 (Fein-Kantor-Schacher)**.** *Let G be a finite group acting transitively on a set Ω with $|\Omega| > 1$. Then there exists an element $g \in G$ of prime power order l^k, with $l \mid |\Omega|$, acting without fixed points on Ω.*

Remark 3.4. The paper [FKS81], in which this theorem was first proved (using the classification of finite simple groups), used it with a similar purpose as we do – classifying relative Brauer groups $\mathrm{Br}(L/K)$ of global fields.

Write I_K for the group of fractional ideals of K and P_K^+ for the subgroup of fractional ideals that are generated by a single totally positive element of K. Then $C_K^+ = I_K/P_K^+$ is known as the *narrow class group* of K and we write h_K^+ for its cardinality, which is always finite. Fix a prime number $l \mid n$ for the moment and choose k such that $l^k > h_K^+ \cdot n!$. Find an abelian extension M/K with Galois group

$\mathrm{Gal}(M/K) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^k$, and choose subextensions $M_1, \ldots, M_r$ with $\mathrm{Gal}(M_i/K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ such that M is the composite of the M_i. Also fix a generator σ_i of $\mathrm{Gal}(M_i/K)$ for each i.

Fact (Ideal-theoretic version of class field theory). We follow the exposition in section VI.7 of [Neu92]. There exists an integral ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ of K (a *module of definition* for M) such that with

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathfrak{m}} &= \{I \in I_K \colon I \text{ coprime to } \mathfrak{m}\} \\ P_{\mathfrak{m}} &= \{(a) \in I_K \colon a \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{m}, a \text{ totally positive }\} \\ &= \{(a) \in I_K \colon v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a-1) \geq n_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for } \mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{m}, a \text{ totally positive}\} \\ C_{\mathfrak{m}} &= I_{\mathfrak{m}}/P_{\mathfrak{m}} \text{ (finite)} \end{aligned}$$

we have a canonical surjective homomorphism $C_{\mathfrak{m}} \to \mathrm{Gal}(M/K)$, the *Artin symbol*. Write $H/P_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for its kernel. We may choose $\mathfrak{m}$ to be a product of prime ideals of K ramified in M. Then a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of K unramified in M is totally split in M if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \in H$. The density of primes of K in each of the classes of $I_{\mathfrak{m}}/H$ is exactly $\frac{1}{l^k}$.

Proposition 3.5. *For a number field L/K consider the following statement:*

> *There exists a totally positive element $a \in K^\times$ such that $(a) \in I_{\mathfrak{m}}$, $(a) \notin H$ and $a \in (T_K((M_i, \sigma_i, a) \otimes_K L/L) \cap K)^\times$ for all i.*

Then this statement is false if $l \nmid [L:K]$, and it is true if L/K is an extension of degree n with l satisfying Lemma 3.2.

Note that $T_K((M_i, \sigma_i, a) \otimes_K L/L) \cap K$ is always a subring of K by Proposition 2.7 since (M_i, σ_i, a) splits at all real places by total positivity of a, so we can speak of its unit group.

Proof. Let us first cover the case $l \nmid [L:K]$, and assume there were a is in the statement. There must be a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \notin H$ such that $\mathfrak{p}$ occurs in (a) with multiplicity not divisible by l; this is clear at once when we factorise (a) into prime ideals and remember that $I_{\mathfrak{m}}/H$ has exponent l. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified in M, but does not split completely, hence there is some M_i in which $\mathfrak{p}$ is inert, since a prime ideal splits completely in every M_i if and only if it splits completely in M. Now the central simple algebra (M_i, σ_i, a) does not split at $\mathfrak{p}$, since $M_i K_{\mathfrak{p}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an unramified extension of degree l and therefore a is not a local norm. Since $l \nmid [L:K]$, there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $l \nmid [L_{\mathfrak{q}} : K_{\mathfrak{p}}]$, so $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$ does not split (M_i, σ_i, a) either. This implies that $a \notin T_K((M_i, \sigma_i, a) \otimes_K L/L)^\times$ as $a \notin \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^\times$.

Now consider the case of a proper extension L/K of degree n with l satisfying the lemma. There exist two l-good prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}'$ which are unramified in M/K, are in the same class in C_K^+, and and are in different classes in $I_{\mathfrak{m}}/H$. This is an easy consequence of density considerations: The set of l-good primes has density at least $\frac{1}{n!}$, hence these represent more than h_K^+ classes in $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by choice of l^k, so we are done by the pigeonhole principle. We now have $\mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathfrak{p}'^{-1} \in P_K^+$, so we can pick a totally positive generator $a \in K^\times$ of this ideal. We claim that a is as desired in the statement. Observe that $(M_i, \sigma_i, a) \otimes L$ splits at all real places because we chose a totally positve, so it suffices to show that this algebra splits at all places of L above $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}'$. But this is true because of the assumption that $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}'$ were l-good. $\square$

Remark 3.6. The statement in the proposition depends only on the ideal (a), i.e. its truth value does not change if we multiply a by a totally positive unit element of $\mathcal{O}_K$. To see this, observe that T_K is invariant under multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$, and the local splitting behaviour of (M_i, σ_i, a) at a prime $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{m}$ only depends on the valuation $v_\mathfrak{p}(a)$, since the local norm group contains the local unit group for unramified extensions.

Theorem 3.7. *Consider the first-order language of rings with a unary predicate for a distinguished subset. For every number field K and integer $n > 1$ there exists a positive existential sentence $\psi_{K,n}$ in this language such that $(K, K) \models \neg\psi_{K,n}$, but $(L, K) \models \neg\psi_{K,n}$ whenever L/K is an extension of degree n.*

Proof. We shall argue that for every prime number $l \mid n$ the statement from the last proposition is expressible as a sentence $\psi_{K,n,l}$. Then $\psi_{K,n} = \wedge_{l|n}\psi_{K,n,l}$ is as desired. Fix a primitive element c for $K/\mathbb{Q}$ and temporarily add a constant symbol for c to our language. In this setting, the following are positively existentially definable:

- The totally positive elements of K – these are just the sums of four squares.
- The elements $a \in K^\times$ such that $(a) \in I_\mathfrak{m}$; these are the a with $a \in \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}^\times$ for all $\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{m}$, and these are definable by Corollary 2.8.
- The totally positive elements $a \in K^\times$ with $a \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{m}$. To see this, let $x \in K$ be an element with $v_\mathfrak{p}(x) = n_\mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{m}$. Then $a \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{m}$ if and only if $a - 1 \in x \cdot \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{m}} \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$.
- The ideals $(a) \in I_\mathfrak{m} \setminus H$ generated by totally positive elements $a \in K^\times$. To see this, fix a finite set of representatives (a_i) for those classes in $I_\mathfrak{m}/P_\mathfrak{m}$ that contain an ideal generated by a single totally positive element. Then all ideals (a) as desired are obtained by multiplying one of those representatives in $I_\mathfrak{m}/P_\mathfrak{m} \setminus H/P_\mathfrak{m}$ by an element as in the previous point.

It is now straight-forward to read off $\psi_{K,n,l}$. It remains to remove the constant symbol for c from our language, but this is easy, as we can simply existentially quantify over the roots of the minimal polynomial of c over $\mathbb{Q}$. □

4. Proof of the main results

Theorem 4.1. *Let K be a number field and $n > 0$ an integer. There exists an existential first-order formula $\varphi_{K,n}(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ in the language of rings such that $K \models \varphi_{K,n}(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ if and only if the polynomial $X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ has no root in K.*

Proof. Let $f \in K[X]$ be monic of degree $n > 1$. We claim that

$$f \text{ has no root over } K \iff \Big((K[X]/(f), K) \models \psi_{K,n}\Big) \vee \Big(f = g \cdot h \text{ with } \deg g, \deg h < n \text{ and } g, h \text{ have no roots over } K\Big).$$

To prove this equivalence, observe that if f has a root in K, then $K \cong K[X]/(X - x_0)$ is a homomorphic image of $K[X]/(f)$ and therefore $(K[X]/(f), K) \not\models \psi_{K,n}$ since $(K, K) \not\models \psi_{K,n}$. Also, clearly f cannot be factored as a product of two polynomials without roots. This proves the implication $\Longleftarrow$. For the other direction, assume that f does not have a root in K. If f is irreducible, $K[X]/(f)$ is a number field of degree n over K and therefore satisfies $\psi_{K,n}$. If f is not irreducible, it can be factored as a product of polynomials of smaller degree which have no root in K.

This proves the equivalence. Using induction on n, this criterion can be phrased existentially in terms of the coefficients of f. □

From this theorem it follows immediately that if $K^{**} \supseteq K^*$ with $K^{**} \equiv K^* \equiv K$, then K^* is relatively algebraically closed in K^{**}, because a polynomial in $K^*[X]$ which has no root in K^* cannot have a root in K^{**} either.

Corollary 4.2. *Let K be a number field. There exists a diophantine criterion for a polynomial over K in an arbitrary number of variables to be irreducible.*

Proof. Being irreducible is clearly expressible by a universal first-order formula. By the Łoś-Tarski Preservation Theorem of model theory ([Hod97, Corollary 5.4.5]), this property is expressible by an existential first-order formula if and only if for every $K^{**} \supseteq K^*$ with $K^{**} \equiv K^* \equiv K$ every irreducible polynomial over K^* remains irreducible over K^{**}. But this is a simple consequence of relative algebraic closedness: Consider an irreducible polynomial $f \in K^*[\overline{X}]$, and assume without loss of generality (after affine change of coordinates and rescaling) that f has constant coefficient 1. Then f factors into irreducible factors $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \overline{K^*}[\overline{X}]$, each with constant coefficient 1, and these factors remain irreducible in $\overline{K^{**}}[\overline{X}]$. If f factors as $g \cdot h$ in $K^{**}[\overline{X}]$, we may assume after rescaling that both g and h have constant coefficient 1, so g, h can be factored into products of the f_i in $\overline{K^{**}}[\overline{X}]$ since this is a unique factorisation domain. But then the coefficients of g and h are both in $\overline{K^*}$ and in K^{**}, so they are in K^*, giving a contradiction to f being irreducible. □

References

[CM06] Antonio Cafure and Guillermo Matera, *Improved explicit estimates on the number of solutions of equations over a finite field*, Finite Fields and Their Applications **12** (2006), 155–185.

[CTG15] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Jan Van Geel, *Le complémentaire des puissances n-ièmes dans un corps de nombres est un ensemble diophantien*, Compositio Math. **151** (2015), 1965–1980.

[Eis05] Kirsten Eisenträger, *Integrality at a prime for global fields and the perfect closure of global fields of characteristic $p > 2$*, J. Number Theory **114** (2005), 170–181.

[FKS81] Burton Fein, William M. Kantor, and Murray Schacher, *Relative Brauer groups II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **328** (1981), 39–57.

[GS06] Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely, *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[Hod97] Wilfrid Hodges, *A shorter model theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[Koe16] Jochen Koenigsmann, *Defining $\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Q}$*, Ann. Math. **183** (2016), 73–93.

[LW54] Serge Lang and André Weil, *Number of points of varieties in finite fields*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), no. 4, 819–827.

[Mil13] J.S. Milne, *Class field theory (v4.02)*, 2013, available at `www.jmilne.org/math/`.

[Neu92] Jürgen Neukirch, *Algebraische Zahlentheorie*, Springer, 1992.

[Par13] Jennifer Park, *A universal first order formula defining the ring of integers in a number field*, Math. Res. Lett. **20** (2013), 961–980.

[Poo09] Bjorn Poonen, *Characterizing integers among rational numbers with a universal-existential formula*, Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), no. 3, 675–682.

Mathematical Institute, Woodstock Road, Oxford, OX2 6GG
E-mail address: `dittmann@maths.ox.ac.uk`